#### Automatic reconstruction of Building Information Models

Alexandre Boulch

December 19<sup>th</sup>, 2014

Defense committee:

Dr. Pierre ALLIEZ Dr. Bruno LEVY Dr. Reinhard KLEIN Dr. Jan BOEHM Dr. Jean-Philippe PONS Dr. Renaud MARLET Dr. Martin DE LA GORCE



#### The BIM is a digital representation of a building





Building Information Modeling (BIM): Benefits, Risks and Challenges Salman Azhar et al. (2008) Model interoperability in building information modeling Steel, James et al. (2010)











## Renovation of old buildings

- Huge market (e.g., improvement of thermal performance)
- No digital model
- Wrong or inexisting blueprints



http://www.agrconstruction.fr/

#### Data

Photogrammetry



#### Data

- Photogrammetry
- Depth sensors





#### Data

- Photogrammetry
- Depth sensors
- Lasers







#### **Reconstruct and semantize surfaces**



# Pipeline Point cloud Structure and information enrichment Roof 1 Digital model Wall 2 Wall 1

















#### Introduction

Local estimation of the surface orientation

- Local estimation of the surface orientation
- Robustness to
  - noise



- Local estimation of the surface orientation
- Robustness to
  - noise
  - outliers



- Local estimation of the surface orientation
- Robustness to
  - noise
  - outliers
  - sharp edges



- Local estimation of the surface orientation
- Robustness to
  - noise
  - outliers
  - sharp edges
  - anisotropy



- Local estimation of the surface orientation
- Robustness to
  - noise
  - outliers
  - sharp edges
  - anisotropy
- Speed



## Previous work

Regression

Normal

estimation

- Planes: Hoppe et al. Surface reconstruction from unorganized points. SIGGRAPH, 1992.
- Jets: Cazals & Pouget. Estimating Differential Quantities using Polynomial fitting of Osculating Jets. Symposium on Geometry Processing, 2003.
- Voronoï diagrams
  - **Dey and Goswami**. *Provable surface reconstruction from noisy samples*. Comput. Geometry, 2006.
- RANSAC
  - Li et al. Robust normal estimation for point clouds with sharp features. Computers & Graphics, 2010.



#### Previous work

	Regression Planes	Regression Jets	Voronoï diagrams	RANSAC
Noise	X	X		X
Outliers				X
Sharp features			X	X
Anisotropy			X	
Speed	X	X	X	

#### Randomized Hough transform

Generate hypotheses: pick triplets of points



#### Randomized Hough transform

Generate hypotheses: pick triplets of points







#### Normal Randomized Hough transform estimation Generate hypotheses: Vote in a spherical pick triplets of points accumulator Space change Select the most probable bin





#### Robust lower bound

- Filled accumulator of *M* bins: empirical approximation of a probability distribution
- Estimate the quality of the approximation.
  - $T^*$ , minimum number of triplets to pick such that :

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{m \in \{1,\dots,M\}} |\hat{p}_m - p_m| < \delta) \ge \alpha$$

 $\delta$ : deviation tolerance  $\alpha$ : confidence level

Normal

estimation



#### Robust lower bound

- Filled accumulator of *M* bins: empirical approximation of a probability distribution
- Estimate the quality of the approximation.

 $T^*$ , minimum number of triplets to pick such that :

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{m \in \{1,\dots,M\}} |\hat{p}_m - p_m| < \delta) \ge \alpha$$

• From Hoeffding inequality:

Normal

estimation

$$T^* = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2\delta^2} \log\left(\frac{2M}{1-\alpha}\right) \right\rceil$$
# Normal estimation

### Results





Cazal & Pouget (2003)





## Speed and accuracy

 Same complexity

Normal

estimation

As accurate • and faster than [Li et al. 2010]

Note: [Dey & Goswany 2006] does not appear on the graphic, because the method is not robust to noise



# Normal estimation

### Visuals results: laser scans



# Normal estimation

### Visual results: photogrammetric data





## Conclusion

- Robust normal estimator based on Hough Transform
  - accurate
  - robust to noise, outliers, sharp edges and anisotropy
  - fast
- Limitations
  - smooth normals on wide angles

## Pipeline



## Pipeline





### Primitive fusion Practical example



Over-segmentation

### Primitive fusion Practical example



#### Over-segmentation

#### Primitive fusion Practical example



Over-segmentation

## Existing methods

Tolerance on parameters

**Primitive** 

fusion

- 3 for a plane (2 for orientation, 1 for distance)
- 4 for a sphere (3 for position, 1 for radius)
- A contrario criterion only for planes in depth maps [Bughin, E. 2010.]

# Primitive fusion

## Existing methods

- Tolerance on parameters :
  - 3 for a plane (2 for orientation, 1 for distance)
  - 4 for a sphere (3 for position, 1 for radius)
- A contrario criterion only for planes in depth maps [Bughin, E. 2010.]

Our method:

One single indicator: distribution of distances to primitive

#### Primitive fusion

## Criterion for fusion

- Two surfaces  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$ , associated with point sets  $P_1$  and  $P_2$
- Distance function from point to primitives
- Define two sets:
- $X = \{ d(p_1, \mathcal{S}_1), \ p_1 \in P_1 \} \cup \{ d(p_2, \mathcal{S}_2), \ p_2 \in P_2 \}$  $Y = \{ d(p_1, \mathcal{S}_2), \ p_1 \in P_1 \} \cup \{ d(p_2, \mathcal{S}_1), \ p_2 \in P_2 \}$
- If  $S_1 = S_2$  then X = Y
- Statistical tests
  - Mann-Whitney
  - Kolmogorov-Smirnov





#### Primitive fusion

### Results











Very small difference in the distributions
 rejection





- Very small difference in the distributions
  rejection
- No user control on acceptance



### Smooth distributions to ensure a controlled fusion





### Increasing the level of smoothing





### Increasing the level of smoothing





### Increasing the level of smoothing





### Increasing the level of smoothing



#### Smoothing level: 0.03

#### Primitive fusion

## Conclusion

- Criterion for primitives fusion
  - robustness to noise
  - support for any primitive type (plane, cylinder...)
  - intuitive user control on merging
- Limits
  - empirical relation between noise and fusion distance

## Pipeline



## Pipeline



## Objective

Automatic surface reconstruction from laser scan

- watertight without self intersection
- piecewise planar
- extended plausibly in hidden region



## Objective

Automatic surface reconstruction from laser scan

- watertight without self intersection
- piecewise planar
- extended plausibly in hidden region with support for data anisotropy



### Previous work

- Smooth priors
  - e.g. Poisson reconstruction
- Voxelisation
  - biased, expensive
- Delaunay tetrahedralization
  - visible regions only
- Plane adjacency
  - visible near adjacency
- Manhattan world assumption
  - too restrictive: 3 directions only

### Chauve et al.

*A.-L. Chauve, P. Labatut, J.P. Pons* Robust piecewise-planar 3D reconstruction and completion from large-scale unstructured point data. CVPR 2010

- Plane arrangement
  - Visible planes
  - Hidden plane hypotheses (called ghosts)
- Binary labelization of resulting 3D cell complex (empty or full)
  - Surface minimization, graph-cut optimization
- Advantages
  - watertight and non self-intersecting surfaces
  - preservation of sharp edges
  - extension of primitives far in hidden regions
  - more plausible surfaces thanks to hidden plane hypotheses

## Chauve et al. (2)

- Limitations
  - wrong primitive borders with anisotropic point clouds

- Our method
  - determination of borders in laser depth image







## Chauve et al. (3)

- Limitations
  - missing plane hypotheses



- Our method
  - generation of parallel ghosts (for thin objects without detected thickness)



## Chauve et al. (4)

- Limitations
  - Holes and truncated corners due to area minimization
- Our method
  - Edge length and corner number minimization





### Overview of the method

Laser point cloud



### Overview of the method

- Laser point cloud
- Primitive extraction



### Overview of the method

- Laser point cloud
- Primitive extraction
- Ghost generation


### Overview of the method

- Laser point cloud
- Primitive extraction
- Ghost generation
- Volume partition using a plane arrangement



### Overview of the method

- Laser point cloud
- Primitive extraction
- Ghost generation
- Volume partition using a plane arrangement
- Binary partition using linear programming



### Overview of the method

- Laser point cloud
- Primitive extraction
- Ghost generation
- Volume partition using a plane arrangement
- Binary partition using linear programming
- Surface extraction



### Overview of the method

- Laser point cloud
- Primitive extraction
- Ghost generation
- Volume partition using a plane arrangement

Binary partition using linear programming

Surface extraction



## Partition

- Partition the volume with a plane arrangement
- Labelization of each cell as empty or occupied

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$$



## **Energy formulation**

• Energy

**Surface** 

extraction

$$E = E_{data} + E_{regul}$$

Data term

Penalizes a surface disagreeing with observations **Regularization term** 

Penalizes a complex surface in the hidden areas



 $E_{data} = E_{prim} + E_{vis}$ 







**Surface** 



**Surface** 



**Surface** 



**Surface** 

## **Regularization term**



**Surface** 

## **Regularization term**



**Surface** 

## Edge term

$$E_{edge}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} |h_e(\mathbf{x})|$$
$$h_e(x) = x_a - x_b - x_c + x_d$$



#### **Surface** Corner term extraction

1

$$E_{corner}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} |h_v(\mathbf{x})|$$
$$h_v(x) = x_a - x_b - x_c + x_d - x_e + x_f + x_g - x_h$$

1



Energy minimization

Objective

 $argmin_{\mathbf{x}} E(\mathbf{x})$ 

- Very challenging for Markov Random Field
  - Edges: 4<sup>th</sup> order potential
  - Corners: 8th order potential
  - Tree-reweighted Belief Propagation: extremely slow
  - Lazy Flipper: local minimum, extremely suboptimal

# Surface Linear relaxation

Reformulation and relaxation

 $min_{x,y} \sum_i w_i y_i$  s.t.  $x \in [0,1]^N, \forall i : -y_i \leq H_i \cdot x \leq y_i$ 

• This is a standard Linear Program









### Results: facade





## Conclusion

- A method for piecewise planar surface reconstruction
- Compared to Chauve et al.
  - handling of anisotropy

Surface

- better surface hypotheses in hidden areas
- better regularization on edge length and corner number
- Limits and perspectives
  - scaling to entire buildings
  - need for regularity discovery



## Pipeline



## Pipeline



## **Building semantization**

 Input: surface + semantic priors

**Semantic** 

enrichment

 Output: semantized surface



### Method:

- Bottom-up
- Based on grammars



### Grammars

### Expression of hierarchical decompositions



Constrained attribute grammars

# Expression of complex relations between elements

Semantic

enrichment





## Basic rule



Riser  $r \rightarrow \text{Polygon } p$ 

Step  $s \rightarrow \text{Tread } t$ , Riser r



## **Basic rule**



Riser  $r \rightarrow \text{Polygon } p$ 

Step  $s \rightarrow \text{Tread } t$ , Riser r



## **Conditional rule**

Tread <i>t</i>	$\rightarrow$ Polygon <i>p</i>	(horizontal( <i>p</i> ), <i>p</i> .length < 2)
Riser r	$\rightarrow$ Polygon <i>p</i>	(vertical( $p$ ), $0.05 < p$ .width < $0.25$ )
Step s	$\rightarrow$ Tread <i>t</i> , Riser <i>r</i>	( edgeAdj(t,r), above(t,r) )



## Collections

107

Tread <i>t</i>	$\rightarrow$	Polygon <i>p</i>	(horizontal( <i>p</i> ), <i>p</i> .length < 2)
Riser r	$\rightarrow$	Polygon <i>p</i>	(vertical( <i>p</i> ), 0.05 < <i>p</i> .width < 0.25)
Step s	$\rightarrow$	Tread t, Riser r	( edgeAdj(t,r), above(t,r) )
Stairway w	$\rightarrow$	sequence(Step s, edgeAdj) ms	





**Combinatorial Explosion** 

 Enumerating collections may lead to combinatorial explosion






**Combinatorial Explosion** 

 Enumerating collections may lead to combinatorial explosion







**Combinatorial Explosion** 

 Enumerating collections may lead to combinatorial explosion





**Combinatorial Explosion** 

 Enumerating collections may lead to combinatorial explosion





Total: 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10 possible sequences

# Maximal collections

Stairway w	$\rightarrow$	maxseq(Step s, edgeAdj) ms	
Step s	$\rightarrow$	Tread t, Riser r	( edgeAdj(t,r), above(t,r) )
Riser r	$\rightarrow$	Polygon <i>p</i>	(vertical( $p$ ), $0.05 < p$ .width < $0.25$ )
Tread <i>t</i>	$\rightarrow$	Polygon <i>p</i>	(horizontal( <i>p</i> ), <i>p</i> .length < 2)

In practice, useful = largest collection:

Maximal operators (maxseq, maxcycle,...)

- fast computation
- low number of instances





#### Results

- Two grammars
  - Facade
  - Stairway

- Experiments
  - synthetic data: CAD models, simulated laser scans
  - real data: laser scans, photogrammetric data













### Real laser scan: stairs



# Conclusion

Grammar-based building semantization method

- pure bottom-up parsing
- combinatorial explosion containment
- grammars easy to design
- grammars maintainable by non computer scientist
- Limits and perspectives
  - need of flexibility (missing elements, merged geometries)
  - learning of rule parameters

## Conclusion

- Pipeline for surface reconstruction and semantization from point cloud
- A step towards automatic BIM reconstruction



- Arbitrary order
  - surface useful for semantization



- Arbitrary order
  - surface useful for semantization
  - semantics useful for surface reconstruction



- Arbitrary order
  - surface useful for semantization
  - semantics useful for surface reconstruction
- Simultaneous or iterated semantics and surface extraction



- Arbitrary order
  - surface useful for semantization
  - semantics useful for surface reconstruction
- Simultaneous or iterated semantics and surface extraction





- Arbitrary order
  - surface useful for semantization
  - semantics useful for surface reconstruction
- Simultaneous or iterated semantics and surface extraction
- Dealing with volumes



http://www.bimvision.eu/

# Thank you for your attention

Fast and Robust Normal estimation for Point Clouds with Sharp Features with **Renaud Marlet** SGP 2012 Computer Graphics Forum

Semantizing Complex 3D Scenes using Constrained Attribute Grammars with **Simon Houiller, Renaud Marlet and Olivier Tournaire** SGP 2013 Computer Graphics Forum

Statistical criteria for primitive merging with **Renaud Marlet** ICPR 2014

*Piecewise-Planar 3D Reconstruction with Edge and Corner Regularization* with **Martin de La Gorce and Renaud Marlet** SGP 2014 Computer Graphics Forum